STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners:
E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHAIRMAN
J. TERRY DEASON
LILA A. JABER
BRAULIO L. BAIEZ
MICHAEL A. PALECKI



DIVISION OF POLICY ANALYSIS & INTERGOVERNMENTAL LIAISON CHARLES H. HILL DIRECTOR (850) 413-6800

Public Service Commission RECEIVED

January 19, 2001

JAN 2 2 2001

VIA AIRBORNE EXPRESS

FCC MAIL ROOM

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW - TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 99-217, Promotion of Competitive Networks in Local Telecommunications Markets;

Wireless Communications Association International, Inc. Petition for Rulemaking to Amend Section 1.4000 of the Commission's Rules to Preempt Restrictions on Subscriber Premises Reception or Transmission Antennas Designed to Provide Fixed Wireless Services;

CC Docket No. 96-98, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996; and

CC Docket No. 88-57/ Review of Sections 68.104, and 68.213 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Connection of Simple Inside Wiring to the Telephone Network

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed are an original and five copies of Comments of the Florida Public Service Commission in CC Docket No. 99-217, along with five copies of a two-volume report entitled Access by Telecommunications Companies to Customers in Multitenant Environments, published by the Florida Public Service Commission in February 1999.

Please date stamp and return one copy of the Comments in the enclosed self-addressed envelope.

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire

Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

ntt B. Mill

CBM:tf Enclosures

Brad Ramsay, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners International Transcription Services, Inc.

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

JAN 2 2 2001 CC MAIL ROOM

In the Matter of:)
Promotion of Competitive Networks in Local Telecommunications Markets) WT Docket No. 99-217)
Wireless Communications Association International, Inc. Petition for Rulemaking to Amend Section 1.4000 of the Commission's Rules to Preempt Restrictions on Subscriber Premises Reception or Transmission Antennas Designed to Provide Fixed Wireless Services)))))))
Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996) CC Docket No. 96-98
Review of Sections 68.104, and 68.213 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Connection of Simple Inside Wiring to the Telephone Network) CC Docket No. 88-57))

COMMENTS OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN RESPONSE TO FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) hereby respectfully submits its comments to the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the CC Dockets No. 99-217. The FPSC commends the efforts to ensure the ability of competing telecommunications providers to serve customers in multiple tenant environments (MTEs).

Introduction and Summary

While we comment specifically on the question of extending the prohibition on exclusive access contracts to residential MTEs, we also raise our concerns regarding the FCC's change in procedures for moving the demarcation point to the minimum point of entry (MPOE). In addition,

these comments address the need for a forum to resolve disputes. The FPSC recognizes that competitors may face difficulties in serving tenants of MTEs and has already explored these issues in the February 1999 Florida Report on Access by Telecommunications Companies to Customers in Multitenant Environments (Report). We are enclosing our report in order to share our research and the issues we addressed.

Residential Exclusive Contracts

In its order, the FCC prohibited exclusive access contracts between building owners or managers of commercial MTEs and now seeks comment on whether to extend such prohibition to residential MTEs. The FPSC believes the prohibition on exclusive access contracts is appropriate and should be extended to residential MTEs. In our report, we determined that "[e]xclusionary contracts bar access to tenants by any competitors. Exclusionary contracts are inherently anticompetitive and should, therefore, be prohibited as being against public policy." (Report at p. 40)

Preferential Marketing Agreements and Other Preferential Arrangements

The FCC also seeks comment on whether it "should prohibit carriers from entering into contracts that grant them preferences other than exclusive access, such as exclusive marketing or landlord bonuses to tenants that use their services, in some or all situations." The FPSC determined in its Report that, although such agreements were not as "blatantly anticompetitive" as exclusionary contracts, they impede competition because a landlord could encourage tenants to be served by one telecommunications company over others. Although the FPSC did not recommend prohibiting such arrangements at the time of the report, the report did state that landlords should disclose the existence of such marketing agreements to potential tenants. (Report at p. 40)

Filing of Complaints

In ¶158, the FCC seeks comment on how any nondiscriminatory access rule should be enforced. The FCC asks whether aggrieved parties should invoke the FCC's general procedures for complaints against common carriers. Thus the FCC is considering handling complaints filed by landlords, carriers, and customers in these matters.

It is crucial that a proper forum be established for settling disputes and proper claims regarding access to tenants in multitenant environments by telecommunications companies. A specific forum does need to be identified. Currently, there are overlapping jurisdictions with Federal regulatory agencies, state regulatory agencies, and the Courts. In our 1999 Report at pages 49-50, we recommended that there be a threshold for bringing disputes and certain standards for review.

Some of the suggestions were:

- Tenants, landlords, and telecommunications providers should make every reasonable effort to negotiate access to a tenant requesting service.
- A landlord may impose conditions reasonably necessary for the safety, security, and aesthetics of the property.
- A landlord may not deny access to space or conduit, previously dedicated to public service, if that space or conduit is sufficient to accommodate the facilities needed for access.
- A landlord may deny access where the space or conduit required for installation is not sufficient to accommodate the request or where the installation would harm the aesthetics of the building.
- A landlord may not charge a fee solely for the privilege of providing telecommunications service in an MTE.

The FPSC is willing and is better positioned to handle some of the complaints in Florida, at least as to complaints filed against the carriers (i.e., customer complaints, carrier-to-carrier disputes).

¹ In responding to these complaints, the FPSC would apply its own rules.

Access to Wiring/Minimum Point of Entry

We need to make sure that we are able to pinpoint responsibility when there is a problem. We need to understand how this would affect our rules on the demarcation point and whether there is preemption. The FPSC assumes that the FCC has not preempted states' demarcation rules; therefore, Florida will maintain its current rules requiring the demarcation point at each customer's premises in multi-tenant dwellings. In the past, we have filed comments expressing concern that the customer may be harmed if the demarcation point is defined as the minimum point of entry (MPOE). For example, Florida requires local exchange companies (LECs) to complete primary telephone service installation in three working days to the demarcation point within customers' premises. By changing the demarcation point to the MPOE, LECs would be relieved of any responsibility or burden to ensure the customer has dial tone within the customer's premises. Under the MPOE scenario, the LEC has fulfilled its obligation once it has introduced service at the MPOE. The landlord or other responsible party may take several days or weeks to complete the connection from the MPOE to the customer's premises. While we have authority to require the carriers to meet

In our 1999 Report, we noted that the FPSC "would not have authority over controversies pertaining to mandatory multitenant access without specific legislative authority." (Report at p. 56) Thus, while we could address customer complaints against carriers and carrier-to-carrier disputes in certain instances, the FPSC does not have express jurisdiction over landlords. It would be possible to make a requirement that if any carrier serves a customer in an MTE building, it must make any spare facilities available to its competitors. This might eliminate most of the complaints that would arise against the landlord. We note that the FCC looks to Section 201(b) for its authority in this area. That section authorizes the FCC to regulate all practices in connection with interstate communication service. The FCC cites Cable & Wireless v. FCC, 166 F3d 1224, 1230-32 (D.C. Cir. 1999) for its "undoubted power to regulate the contractual or other arrangement between common carriers and other entities, even those entities that are generally not subject to Commission regulation." (p. 18)

certain time frames, we do not have authority to mandate time frames for landlords to act. The FPSC believes that this is not in the public's interest.

If the FCC's purpose of moving the demarcation point to the MPOE is to foster competition, we believe there may be a better approach. Currently, in Florida, a competitive local exchange company (CLEC) must enter into an interconnection agreement with the incumbent LECs to acquire dial tone, collocation, or unbundled network elements. The CLECs may obtain the entire local loop, connect at the MPOE and lease the wire to the customer's premises from the entity that owns it, or acquire the loop all the way to the customer's premises. The wire from the MPOE to the customer's premises is considered network wire in Florida. Landlords, CLECs, or LECs are not precluded from owning the wire installed between the MPOE and demarcation point within the customer's premises. The local service provider and the owner of the wire between the MPOE and the demarcation point can be required to reach an agreement on the use of the wire. This approach would eliminate the landlord's concern of having to provide any additional conduit access for other companies to place their own wiring.

It appears that equal application of Florida's demarcation point rule to CLECs and LECs might ensure the FPSC's authority to best serve the interests of the public. Responsibility for delivery of dial tone to the customer, at his premises in a multi-tenant environment would fully rest with the provider offering the service. This is an approach that Florida may consider in rulemaking. If the FCC also adopted this approach, it appears that the customers might reap the benefits of competition without the fear of not receiving the service purchased.

Conclusion

In its ongoing attempts to ensure the promotion of competition in MTEs, the FPSC urges the Commission to continue its efforts to ensure that customers in multi-tenant environments have the opportunity to receive the benefits of competition. As the FPSC stated in its Report:

A Multitenant environment (MTE) in which a landlord or building owner controls access to the telecommunications equipment area or other related facilities in a structure appears to be a situation where limitations to competition may exist. A tenant in an MTE should have reasonable access to any telecommunications company, and a telecommunications company should have reasonable access to a tenant. Equally important, it is unacceptable for an incumbent local exchange company (ILEC) to use its incumbent position to limit an alternative local exchange company's (ALEC) ability to market its services or install its equipment in an MTE, and landlords should not impede access to competitive telecommunications service. (Report at p. 1)

Respectfully submitted,

Cynthia B. Miller, Esq.

Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850) 413-6082

DATED: January 19, 2001

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Comments of the Florida

Public Service Commission will be furnished, without the attachment, to the parties on the attached list.

Cynthia B. Miller, Esq.

Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

DATED: January 19, 2001.

The Honorable William E. Kennard, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW - 8th Floor Room 8-B201H Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Harold Furchtgott-Roth Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW - 8th Floor Room 8-A302C Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Gloria Tristani Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW - 8th Floor Room 8-C302C Washington, DC 20554

Jane E. Jackson, Chief Competitive Pricing Division Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW T.W. A225 Washington, DC 20554

Jonathan Askin, Esquire
The Association for Local Telecom.Services
888 17th Street, NW - Suite 900
Washington, DC 20006

Brad E. Mutschelknaus Robert J. Aamoth Kelly Drye & Warren, LLP 1200 19th Street, NW - Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036

David W. Carpenter David L. Lawson Sidley & Austin 1722 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Cherie R. Kiser, Michael H. Pryor Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky And Popeo, PC 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW - Suite 900 Washington, DC 20004-2608 The Honorable Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th, SW - 8th Floor Room 8-B115H Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW - 8th Floor Room 8-A204C Washington, DC 20554

International Transcription Services, Inc. 1231 20th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Ms. Dorothy Attwood, Chief Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room F-C450 Washington, DC 20554

Richard S. Whitt Cristin L. Flynn WorldCom, Inc. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006

Mr. Mark C. Roseblum Stephen C. Gravito AT&T Room 1131M1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Lee Schroeder Cablevision Lightpath, Inc. 1111 Stewart Avenue Bethpage, NY 11714-3581

Centennial Communications Corp. % Christopher W. Savage and Brenda J. Boykin Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW #200 Washington, DC 20006-3458 M. Robert Sutherland, Richard M. Sbaratta BellSouth Corporation 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 1800 Atlanta, GA 30309

Lionel Wilson Ellen S. Levine California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Albert H. Kramer
Jacob Farber
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP
2101 L. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

Paul G. Aforso, Esq. General Counsel Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Telecom. & Energy One South Station Boston, MA 02110

Dana K. Joyce Marc D. Poston Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Lawrence G. Malone General Counsel NYS Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Robert B. McKenna Jeffry A. Brueggeman Qwest Communications 1020 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Stephen J. Davis
Office of Policy Development
Public Utility Commission of Texas
P. O. Box 13326
Austin, TX 78711

Helen Mickiewicz, Esq. Peter Arth, Jr. California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Richard M. Rindler, Michael W. Fleming Patrick Donovan, Robin Redfield Swindler Berlin Shereff-Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, NW - Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

George N. Barclay Michael J. Ettner General Services Administration 1800 F Street, NW - Room 4002 Washington, EC 20405

Susan Grant National Consumers League 1701 K Street, NW - Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20006

Richard A. Askoff National Exchange Carrier Association 80 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981

Renee R. Crittendon
Prism Communications Service, Inc.
1667 K Street NW - Suite 200
Washington, DC 20006

Gary L. Phillips
Roger K. Toppins
SBC Communications, Inc.
1401 Eye Street, NW - Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005

John M. Goodman Verizon Telephone Companies 1300 1 Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 John Cleven Tooker, President Western Telephone Integrated Communications, Inc. 303 East Jackson Street Medford, OR 97501

William T. Lake, Lynn R. Charytan Jonathan J. Frankel Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, NW Washington. DC 20037

Leon M. Kestenbaum Jay Keithley Sprint Corporation 401 9th Street, NW - Suite 400 Washington, DC 20004

Mark D. Schneider Darryl Bradford Jenner & Block 601 13th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

Aliceann Wohlbruck NADO 444 North Capitol Street, NW - Suite 630 Washington, DC 20001

Harry Alford, President National Black Chamber of Commerce 1350 Connecticut Avenue, NW - Suite 825 Washington, DC 200036

George Herrea, President & CEO U.S. Hispanic Chamber of Commerce 1019 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20003

Stan Silverman, Director Technology Based Learning Systems New York Institute of Technology P. O. Box 9029 Central Islip, NY 11722

Charles C. Hunter
Catherine M. Hannan
Hunter Communications Law Group
1620 I Street, NW - Suite 701
Washington, DC 20006

Philip F. McClelland, Joel H. Cheskis, Irwin A. Popwsky Office of Consumer Advocate 555 Walnut Street, 5th Floor - Forum Place Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

David Cosson John Kuyendall Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP 2120 L. Street, NW - Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037

Brian Conboy, Thomas Jones Wilkie Farr & Gallagher Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Cleo Manuel, Executive Director Keep America Connected P.O. Box 27911 Washington, DC 20005

Nancy Bloch National Association of the Deaf 814 Thayer Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20910

Daniel McVeigh, President Ocean of Know 178 Dover Furwave Road Dover Plains, NY 12522

Pace A. Duckenfield, Esquire Alliance for Public Technology 919 18th Street, NW - Suite 900 Washington, DC 20006

Claude L. Stout, Executive Director Telecommunications for the Deaf, Inc. 8630 Fenton Street, Suite 604 Silver Spring, MD 20910-3803

James Connelly
Department of Telecommunications and Energy
One South Station
Boston, MA 02110

Keith Townsend United States Telecom Association 1401 H Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Robert L. Dewees, Jr., Esq. Nixon Peabody LLP 101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110-1832

Marc D. Poston, Senior Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Mary Newmeyer
Utility Rate Supervisor
Alabama Public Service Commission
Post Office Box 991
Montgomery, AL 36101-0991

Clarine Nardi Riddle General Counsel National Multi Housing Council 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 540 Washington, DC 20036

Tony Edwards, General Counsel
National Association of Real Estate Investment
Trusts
1875 Eye Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006

John O. Postl, Esq. William J. Rooney, Jr., Esq Global NAPs, Inc. 10 Merrymount Road Quincy, MA 02169

Linda L. Oliver, Jennifer A. Purvis, Yaron Dori, Margaret E. Kane Hogan & Hartson, LLP 555 13th Street, NW Washington, DC 20004

Lynn Lane Williams, Assistant General Counsel Oklahoma Corporation Commission PO Box 52000-2000 Oklahoma City, OK 73152-2000

Gerard Lavery Lederer
Building Owners and Managers Association Intl.
1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20005

Bruce Lundegren
National Association of Home Builders
1201 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Roger Platt, National Policy Counsel Real Estate Roundtable 1420 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

- o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the ECFS system.
 - o Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.
- Other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the ECFS system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed by contacting an Information Technician at the FCC Reference Information Center, at 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC, Room CY-A257. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician.

For reports see docket # 99-217.